

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/229,046	01/12/1999		MICHAEL G. COUTTS	7890	7721
26889	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL	CHAN		TSEGAYE, SABA		
NCR CORPO	ORATION	1			
1700 SOUTI	H PATTE	RSON BLVD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON.	OH 4547	9-0001	2662		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Office Action Summary Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondent Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the previsions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the saturator minimum of thirty (30) days with the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the saturator minimum of thirty from the mailing date of 11 to period for reply specified above, it is near minimum statutory period will apply and will reply 50 KX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of 11 to period for reply specified above, it is near minimum statutory period will apply and will reply 50 KX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any example placent term edipartment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is many and the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) is/are rejected to expected to be subjected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any object of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) objected to See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been receive		(K		
## Examiner Saba Tsegaye Saba T	A	lication No. Applicant(s)		
Saba Tsegaye - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondent of Repty Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the previous of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed effect of 18 to period for reply specified above. In the state thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered if NO period for reply is specified above. In thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered if NO period for reply is specified above. In the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTH's from the mailing date of 18 to period for reply within the soft control for the members and the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-147 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-147 is/are rejected to. B) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some to long the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received i	_	229,046 COUTTS ET AL.		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Sk (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Sk (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire Sk (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the part o	Office Action Summary	miner Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed starts XR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (20) days will be considered if IN Op eriod for reply specified above, the mailing date of this communication of the period reply specified above, the mailing date of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of IN Op eriod for reply within the set or adended period for reply will, by statute, cause the asplication to become ABANDONED (50 U.S.C. § 13 Avy reply received by the Office alter date with the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(a). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ■ Claim(s) 1-147 is/are rejected. 7) ■ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ■ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ■ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ■ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) ■ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	1			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Exhancisor of time may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply septide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutary minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the septiment of the reply within the set or exherded period for reply will period for reply will period for reply will be common and the reply within the set or exherded period for reply will spatially statute, cause the application becomes BANCONENO. C3 U.S. C. § 13 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The precification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) concepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some old not be priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of t		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 August 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or for Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply will If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dat	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-147 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	us	·		
4)	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowance	n is non-final. xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	osition of Claims			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-147</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Nar application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	lication Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	·— ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or fo Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	•			
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this Na application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents h 2. Certified copies of the priority documents h 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (I 	ve been received. ve been received in Application No ocuments have been received in this National Stage CT Rule 17.2(a)).		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 08/30/04. Claims 1-147 are 1. pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

Claim Objections

- Claims 25, 89, 104, 123, 132, and 134 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a 2. substantial duplicate of claims 16, 90, 103, 124, 133 and 135 respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- In claim 136, line 3, the phrase "the servicing person's terminal" lacks antecedent basis. 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-9, 13-18, 20-30, 42-60, 67-73, 75-80, 82, 91-94, 96, 97, 115-118 and 141-147 4. are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drummond et al. (US 6,505,177).

Regarding claim 1, Drummond discloses, in Fig. 1, a communications network comprising a plurality of interconnected network sites (12, 16, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28), at least one network site being a transaction terminal (12), wherein intelligent agent programs are used to convey information between sites on the network for facilitating efficient maintenance of the

transaction terminal (column 5, lines 15-49; column 6, line 22-column 7, line 7) column 28, line 45-column 29, line 50; column 29, line 65-column 30, line 13).

Regarding claim 2, Drummond discloses a network, wherein each transaction terminal (12) has an intelligent agent handler for receiving intelligent agents from the network and for launching intelligent agents into the network, wherein the agent handler allows a received intelligent agent to execute within the context of the handler (column 29, lines 6-50).

Regarding claims 3 and 31, Drummond discloses a method, comprising the further step of collecting site address information from visiting agent programs (column 5, lines 1-14).

Regarding claim 4, Drummond discloses a network, wherein each transaction terminal includes operation monitoring facilities that record operating information associated with service elements within that terminal (column 13, lines 9-36).

Regarding claims 5-8, Drummond discloses a network, wherein one network site in the communications network includes a server which provides transaction processing capabilities for one or more transaction terminals; wherein the server has a monitor for sending out monitor intelligent agent programs for collecting and storing operating information from each of the available transaction terminals; and wherein the monitor includes facilities that analyze the operating information and predict when a transaction terminal may require maintenance (column 13, lines 9-54).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 13 and 14, Drummond discloses a transaction terminal for use in an automatic maintenance requesting communications network, where the transaction terminal (12) comprises: an intelligent agent handler (64); operation monitoring facilities that detect malfunctions; and a service agent registry; whereby, in use, the intelligent agent handler (64) receives and executes intelligent agent service programs which store maintainer information and maintenance terminal information in the service agent registry, so that on detecting a malfunction the operation monitoring facilities are able provide the handler with information relating to one or more maintainers from the service agent registry for use in creating an intelligent agent alert program for sending to the one or more maintainers (column 28, line 45-column 29, line 5)

Regarding claims 9, 15 and 20, Drummond discloses, in Fig. 2, a transaction terminal (12) for use with a communications network (16), the terminal comprising: one or more service elements (38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48); operation monitoring facilities (64) that record operating information associated with the one or more service elements (38, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48); an I/O network interface (72); and an intelligent agent handler (34) for receiving an intelligent agent via the 1/O interface and for sending the received intelligent agent to the next destination (column 7, line 52-column 8, line 18); whereby, the received intelligent agent is executed by the handler so that the received intelligent agent retrieves and stores operating information from the operation monitoring facilities (column 7, line 52-column 10, line 19).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 16 and 25, Drummond discloses a communications network comprising a plurality of interconnected network sites (12, 16, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28), and intelligent agent programs that route through the communications network by reference to site address information carried by each agent program, wherein the network has a terminal network site which includes:

a transaction terminal (12) having at least one service element (devices 36, col. 7, lines 19-39);

monitoring facilities (device manger 68) that derive operating data relating to an operating parameter of the service element (device 36);

an operating data registry to (70) receive operating data from the monitoring facilities (68) (column 7, line 60-col. 8, line 6); and

a data processor programmed to launch an intelligent agent program carrying the operating data from the terminal network site into the network (col. 8, lines 7-27).

Regarding claims 17, 26, and 29, Drummond discloses a communication network, wherein the data processor is programmed to launch an intelligent agent program by re-addressing a visiting agent program to which the operating data has been added (column 19, line 59-column 20, line 11).

Regarding claims 18, 27 and 30, Drummond discloses a communications network, wherein the data processor is programmed to launch an intelligent agent program in the form of

Art Unit: 2662

an alert program which has been generated at the said terminal network site to carry the operating data (column 19, line 59-column 20, line 24).

Regarding claims 19 and 31, Drummond discloses a communications network wherein the data processor is programmed to collect site address information from visiting agent programs (column 29, line 60-column 30, line 13).

Regarding claim 21, Drummond discloses, in Fig. 2, a communications network, wherein at least one of the terminal network sites comprises self-service cash dispensing terminal site (42).

Regarding claim 22, Drummond discloses a communications network, wherein at least one of the terminal network sites comprises a retail point of sale terminal site (column 7, lines 1-6).

Regarding claim 23, Drummond discloses a communications network, wherein at least one of the terminal network sites comprises a self-service terminal site (12).

Regarding claim 24, Drummond discloses a communications network, further including a monitor network site, which includes:

Art Unit: 2662

a data processor to launch agent programs to visit the terminal network site or sites so as to collect operating data therefrom for return to the monitor network site (column 29, line 60-column 30, line13), and

a monitoring data registry to register the operating data returned to the monitor network site (column 30, lines 14-28).

Regarding claim 28, Drummond discloses, in Figs. 1 and 2, a method of communicating intelligent agent programs through a communications network comprising a plurality of interconnected network sites (12, 16, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28) and intelligent agent programs routing through the communications network (10) by reference to site address information carried by each agent program, the network having a monitoring network site (14) and a terminal network site (12) including a transaction terminal (12) having at least one service element (36), the method comprising the steps of:

monitoring the service element to derive operating data relating to an operating parameter thereof (col. 10, line 61-col. 11, line 10); and

launching from the terminal network site to the monitor network site an intelligent agent program carrying the operating data (col. 11, lines 11-67).

Regarding claims 42, 43 and 45, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device interconnected over a network that includes communication of servicing information over the network to servicing personnel where the nature and form of the information are selected

Art Unit: 2662

according to the state of the device, and where the information includes instructions on how to carry out applicable servicing (col. 28, line 35-61).

Regarding claim 44, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device interconnected over a network that includes communication of servicing information over the network to servicing personnel where the information is expressed in a language selected according to profile data associated with a service person to whom the communication is directed (col. 10, lines 39-52; col. 28, lines 32-44).

Regarding claims 46, 56-59, Drummond discloses a system comprising a networked transaction terminal element, a central server (92) and a servicing person's terminal interconnected over a network (16, 18) (the transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92). Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system (service provider). Alternatively, the selective dispatching of fault messages to address in the intranet may be accomplished by appropriately configuring device server 92 (central server)), in which the servicing person's terminal and the central server intercommunicate via a Web page interface with regard to servicing of the transaction terminal element (col. 29, lines 39-50).

Regarding claims 47 and 48, Drummond discloses, in Figs. 1 and 2, a networked peripheral module for a transaction processing terminal having a local data processor and agent handler logic that listens for incoming intelligent agent programs that gather servicing related

Art Unit: 2662

data resident at the module for communication over the network (column 7, line 19- column 8, line 18).

Regarding claims 49 and 50, Drummond discloses a networked transaction-processing terminal having a local data processor and agent handler logic that launches intelligent agent programs for communication over the network of servicing related data resident at the terminal (column 7, line 19- column 8, line 18).

Regarding claims 51-55, Drummond discloses a networked transaction processing terminal having a local data processor and Web server logic that communicates servicing related data resident at the terminal and made accessible to another networked terminal via a Web browser program (column 29, lines 6-65).

Regarding claim 60, Drummond discloses a system comprising a networked transaction terminal element (12), a central server (92, 14) and a servicing person's terminal (20, 22, 24, 26, 28) interconnected over a network (16, 18), in which the transaction terminal element has a data processor, web server logic (76) and a memory storing state or condition information pertaining to the terminal element, where the information is accessible to the a servicing person via a query or request made to the central server, and where the central server retrieves the information from the transaction terminal element and posts it on a Web page viewable through a Web browser program running on the servicing person's terminal (column 29, lines 32-59).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 67-72, Drummond discloses a networked transaction processing terminal having a local data processor and operation logic including a main class section that instantiates a logs class section programmed to store and provide access to servicing related data resident at the terminal (col. 6, lines 22-24; col. 7, lines 9-62), an embedded server class section that receives and responds to requests for the stored data made over the network (col. 6, lines 26-61; col. 11, lines 11-45), and an agent handler class section that provides the stored data to and launches intelligent agent programs outgoing onto the network (col. 6, line 62-col. 8, line7; column 29, lines 6-67); where the logs class section, the embedded server class section and the agent handler class section are separate logic components that may be readily added or removed in accordance with processing requirements at the terminal (column 6, lines 22-column 8, line 7).

Regarding claim 73, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device (12) interconnected over a network that includes communication of servicing information over the network to servicing personnel, where the communication is automatically triggered by a service person's acceptance of responsibility for servicing a specified state condition that has occurred within the device (column 28, lines 53-62).

Regarding claims 75, 76 and 78, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device interconnected over a network that includes communication of servicing information over the network to servicing personnel, where the communication is triggered by a process initiated by a state condition within the device occurring and being communicated over the network by an alert agent program (column 28, line 53-col. 29, line 5).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 77, 79 and 80, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device interconnected over a network that includes communication of servicing information over the network to servicing personnel, where the communication is triggered by a process initiated by a state condition within the device occurring and being communicated over the network by the device (column 28, line 53-col. 29, line 5).

Regarding claim 82, Drummond discloses a system comprising a network transaction terminal element and two or more servicing persons' terminals interconnected over a network, in which the transaction terminal element launches an intelligent agent program onto the network to notify one or more of the servicing persons' terminals in the event of an error condition within the transaction terminal element (col.29, lines 43-50).

Regarding claims 91-94, 96 and 97, Drummond discloses a system comprising a networked transaction terminal element (12), a central server (14, 92) and a servicing person's terminal interconnected over a network (18), in which the transaction terminal element notifies the central server and the central server notifies the servicing person's terminal in the event of an error condition within the transaction terminal element (col.28, lines 45-54, col.29, lines 43-50).

Regarding claims 115-118, Drummond discloses a system comprising networked transaction terminal elements (12) and a central server (92) interconnected over a network (16), in which the central server gathers state information from the transaction terminal elements by launching an intelligent agent program that successively visits and extracts information from

Art Unit: 2662

transaction terminal elements and then returns to the central server with the extracted information (column 28, lines 32-51), and in which the central server launches a second intelligent agent program to notify one or more of servicing persons' terminals in the event of a servicing requirement being determined from the state information thus gathered (column 28, line 62-column 29, line 5; column 29, lines 24-31).

Regarding claims 141 and 142, Drummond discloses that the transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92). Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system (service provider). Alternatively, the selective dispatching of fault messages to address in the intranet may be accomplished by appropriately configuring device server 92 (central server).

Regarding claim 143 and 144, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device (12) interconnected over a network (16, 18) to servicing personnel, where the communication is triggered by an inquiry made by an authorized service person (e.g. who has responsibility for replenishing supplies col. 28, lines 54-59) who has been advised via a preceding network communication that a specified state condition has occurred within the device (Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system; column 28, lines 45-62).

Regarding claim 145, Drummond discloses a system comprising a networked transaction terminal element (12), a central server (96) and a servicing person's terminal interconnected over

Art Unit: 2662

a network (16, 18), in which the transaction terminal element notifies the central server and the central server notifies the servicing person's terminal in the event of an error condition within the transaction terminal element (transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92). Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system), and in which the central server provides further information concerning the error condition to the servicing person's terminal, to provide instructions on how to service the error condition that has occurred (column 28, lines 45-62).

Regarding claims 146 and 147, Drummond discloses a system comprising networked transaction terminal elements (12), a central server (96) and servicing persons' terminal interconnected over a network (16, 18), in which the central server tracks terminal elements or terminal logging on and off the network, terminal elements or terminal failing to provide an anticipated communication over the network, the state of health and servicing requirements of the transaction terminal elements, and profile and availability information on the servicing persons present, to establish lists of network locations to be visited by intelligent agent programs to facilitate servicing of the transaction terminal elements (column 29, lines 35-50).

5. Claims 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lesaint et al. (US 6,578,005).

Lesaint discloses, Figs. 1-5, a method of servicing an electronic device (H1-H3) interconnected over a network that includes electronically storing network addresses (step 52) of

Art Unit: 2662

authorized service representatives (T1-T3) to enable, notification to be sent to the service representatives in the event of a designated operating condition of the device, further storing priority information indicating a preferred order in which the service representatives are to be notified in the event that the designated operating condition occurs (column 13, lines 10-43), and first notifying the service representative at the top of the preferred order in the event of the designated operating condition (step 54), and then notifying the service representative next in the preferred order if within an allotted time period the first notified service representative declines or fails to commit to perform the services required (step 57) (column 13, lines 10-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 10, 32-34, 74, 81, 83-90, 95, 98-101, 106-110, 113, 114, 119-129 and 130-140 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drummond.

Regarding claims 10, 34, 74, 81, 83-85, 95, 98-101, 110, 113, 122, 125, 129, 130 and 138-140, Drummond discloses a method of servicing an electronic device interconnected over a network that includes storing in the device a network address of an authorized service representative, to enable notification to be sent to the service representative in the event of a designated operating condition (fault messages which indicate a need for servicing is directed to an address associated with an entity who can provide the type of servicing required/notify appropriate personnel; col. 28, line 46-col.29, line 5). Drummond discloses that fault and status messages may be monitored from terminal at locations anywhere that are connected in the network. E-mail or similar messages are sent to a selected address whenever a particular condition or group of conditions exists. Referring to col. 28, lines 45-67, Drummond

Art Unit: 2662

discloses that the transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92). Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system (service provider).

Drummond does not expressly disclose informing the device when the representative logs-off the network or becomes otherwise unavailable or available (or not accept responsibility) to perform required services.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that notifies a device when a representative logs off the network or becomes otherwise unavailable or available to the method of Drummond. On of ordinary sill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would save time by sending promptly fault messages to other available representatives.

Regarding claims 32, 33, 123, 124, 126, 127 and 132-135, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Drummond discloses that the transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92). Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system (service provider). Alternatively, the selective dispatching of fault messages to address in the intranet may be accomplished by appropriately configuring device server 92 (central server). Further, Drummond discloses that ATM machine may be **instructed** to access servers for purposes **of downloading documents**, which include information such as **advertising**, **promotional material or other types of information** (column 31, lines 28-41).

However, Drummond does not expressly disclose launching an intelligent agent program to notify the transaction terminal element as to the network identity of servicing persons potentially available for servicing of the transaction terminal element when the transaction terminal element logs on.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a system that notifies the transaction terminal potentially available of servicing persons to the system (that downloads documents such as advertising) of Drummond. One would have been motivated to do this because end users will save time to locate service providers by being kept up-to-date about the available service person.

Regarding claims 61-66, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above.

Drummond does not disclose a networked transaction terminal element and a servicing person's terminal interconnected via a wireless interconnection.

However, as it is known, wireless communication devices, cellular phones, and other portable communication devices are becoming widely used. These devices allow others to contact or communicate with a person who might otherwise be unavailable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a wireless communication system to the system of Drummond. One would have been motivated to do this because a wireless communication system allows users to leave their traditional office environment or home because they know that they can be reached by those who wish to reach them.

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claim 86, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above.

Further Drummond discloses fault messages, which indicate a need for servicing may be directed to an address associated with an entity who can provide (particular servicing person's terminal; for e.g. that replenishes currency or replenishes supplies) the type of servicing required (column 28, lines 54-59). Drummond does not expressly disclose that the intelligent agent program is programmed to a particular servicing person's terminal as determined by a prioritized list of terminal to visit.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a prioritized list to the software application (which delivers messages) of Drummond. On of ordinary sill in the art would have been motivated to do this because a prioritized list allows finding a technician who is suitable (such as his skills and geographical location) to perform the task.

Regarding claim 87-90, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above.

Further Drummond discloses fault messages, which indicate a need for servicing may be directed to an address associated with an entity who can provide the type of servicing required.

Drummond does not expressly disclose that the intelligent agent program is programmed to continue to visit various servicing persons' terminal in succession until a within an allotted period of time or a specified condition has occurred

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that visits various servicing persons' terminal in succession until a within an allotted period of time or a specified condition has occurred to the method of

Art Unit: 2662

Drummond. On of ordinary sill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides a better customer satisfaction by improving the timeliness and predictability of servicing time.

Regarding claims 106 and 107, Drummond does not expressly disclose notifying terminal element when servicing person has serviced the error condition.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that notifies a device when a servicing person has serviced the error condition to the method of Drummond. On of ordinary sill in the art would have been motivated because it allows the transaction terminal appropriately updated and to be ready for the next transaction.

Regarding claims 108, 109 and 114, Drummond does not expressly disclose that the transaction terminal element launches a second intelligent agent program if the first one does not return within an allotted time period.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that launches a second intelligent agent program if the first one does not return within an allotted time period to the method of Drummond. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a better customer satisfaction by improving the timeliness and predictability of servicing time.

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 119, 120 and 121, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Drummond discloses that the transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92) regarding the condition exist at the transaction terminal. The selective dispatching of fault messages to address in the intranet may be accomplished by appropriately configuring device server 92 (central server) (column 28, lines 35-67). Drummond does not expressly disclose that servicing requirements are determined based on prediction made by the central server.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that determines the servicing requirements based on prediction made by the central server to the method of Drummond. On of ordinary sill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it provides a better customer satisfaction by improving the timeliness and predictability of servicing time.

Regarding claim 128 and 131, Drummond, further, does not disclose that the central server notifies the transaction terminal element as to prioritization data associated with the corresponding servicing person.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a system that notifies the transaction terminal element as to prioritization data associated with the corresponding servicing person to the system (that downloads documents such as advertising) of Drummond. One would have been motivated to do this because end users will save time to locate service providers according to their availability, skills and quality of service.

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claim 136, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above.

Further, Drummond discloses that fault and status messages may be monitored from terminal at locations anywhere that are connected in the network. Appropriate security measures should be taken in order to avoid unauthorized access to the server handling default or device messages (column 29, lines 45-59).

Drummond does not expressly disclose launching an intelligent agent program to notify the transaction terminal element change in the network identity of servicing persons potentially available for servicing of the transaction terminal element when the servicing person's terminal logs on or off the network.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a system that notifies the transaction terminal potentially available of servicing persons to the system (that downloads documents such as advertising) of Drummond. One would have been motivated to do this because end users will save time to locate service providers by being kept up-to-date about available service provider.

Regarding claim 137, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Drummond discloses that the transaction terminal element (64) communicates through the intranet with the central server (92). Either transaction terminal element or the central server may direct fault messages to a fault handling system (service provider). Fault messages may be selectively directed based on the nature of the fault indicated to an address associated with an entity who can provide the type of servicing required (column 28, lines 54-62). Drummond does

Art Unit: 2662

not expressly discloses that when a servicing person's terminal logs onto the network, establishes associated servicing details.

However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a method that establishes associated servicing details when a servicing person's terminal logs onto the network to the method of Drummond in order to direct fault messages to a particular service provider.

7. Claims 11, 12, 102-105, 111 and 112 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drummond in view of Lesaint et al. (US 6,578,005).

Regarding claims 11, 12, 111 and 112, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Drummond discloses fault messages, which indicate a need for servicing may be directed to an address associated with an entity who can provide (particular servicing person's terminal; for e.g. that replenishes currency or replenishes supplies) the type of servicing required (column 28, lines 54-59). Drummond does not expressly disclose that an intelligent agent program notifies servicing persons', where the servicing persons' terminals are scheduled to be visited by a priority list and the intelligent agent program is programmed to continue to visit various servicing persons' terminal in succession until a within an allotted period of time or a specified condition has occurred.

Lesaint teaches that a list of technicians who can do a particular task will be stored into a priority order. A scheduler attempts to schedule a task to a first technician in the list. If the task cannot be added to the end of the first technician's tour, the process is repeated for other technicians (column 13, lines 10-65).

Art Unit: 2662

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a scheduling system, such as that suggested by Lesaint, to the system of Drummond. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a better customer satisfaction by improving the timeliness and predictability of servicing time

Regarding claims 102-105, Drummond discloses all the claim limitations as stated above. Further, Drummond discloses fault messages which indicate a need for servicing is directed to an address associated with an entity who can provide the type of servicing required/notify appropriate personnel, col. 28, line 46-col.29, line 5. Fault and status messages may be monitored from terminal at locations anywhere that are connected in the network. E-mail or similar messages are sent to a selected address whenever a particular condition or group of conditions exists.

Drummond does not expressly disclose that a servicing person's estimate as to when the error condition is likely to be serviced and to allow proximity or availability based prioritization for service scheduling.

Lesaint teaches a method that stores an initial schedule based on predicated availability of resources task, priorities, and suitability of tasks to resources (column 5, line 57-column 6, line 10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings from Lesaint of estimating when the error condition is likely to be serviced in the method disclosed by Drummond. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

Art Unit: 2662

motivated to do this because it would provide a better customer satisfaction by improving the timeliness and predictability of servicing time.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-147 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST

February 6, 2005

JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER